

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 - 2023 School Report



Hall County Friendship Elementary School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name | |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Team Member # 1 | Mrs. Abigail Celli | Principal | |
| Team Member # 2 | Mrs. Heather Davis | Assistant principal | |
| Team Member # 3 | Nicole Hill | Teacher Leader | |
| Team Member # 4 | Jane Coley | Teacher Leader | |
| Team Member # 5 | Julie McDuffie | Teacher Leader | |
| Team Member # 6 | Tricia Barry | Media Specialist | |
| Team Member # 7 | Pam Blackwell | Teacher Leader | |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Pam Blackwell | Instructional Coach |
| Team Member # 2 | Sharon Nagel | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 3 | Julie McDuffie | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 4 | Christy Giles | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 5 | Leigh Tipton | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 6 | Catherine Byrd | Leadership Teach |
| Team Member # 7 | Ashley Rowlett | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 8 | Gwen Hosch | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 9 | Steven Johnson | Leadership Team |
| Team Member # 10 | Tara Shaw | Leadership Team |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation</u> webinar for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Crystal Ganulen | Parent |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Misty Gamble | Parent |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Johnny Brissey | Business Partner |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Faith Barnes | Parent/Paraprofessional |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Gwen Hosch | Paraprofessional |
| Stakeholder # 6 | Heather Barrett | Central Office Staff |
| Stakeholder # 7 | Holly Mauney | Pioneer RESA |
| Stakeholder # 8 | Elizabeth Puckett | Pioneer RESA |

| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, | All stakeholders are surveyed often and have multiple opportunities to provide |
|---|---|
| and in particular parents and/or guardians, | input. Some of these opportunities include, but are not limited to, monthly |
| were able to provide meaningful input into | parent meetings, monthly school council meetings, monthly faculty meetings, |
| the needs assessment process? | and monthly school leadership team meetings. The ongoing meetings with |
| _ | stakeholders involve the review of updated federal, state, and local data. The |
| | Consolidated Needs Assessment process involved teachers, administrators, |
| | community members, business leaders, parents, and other support staff. The |
| | team carefully reviewed achievement data that included analysis from all |
| | subgroups populations. The team also reviewed perception data from |
| | stakeholder surveys, demographic data, and process data. The results of the |
| | data was used to determine areas of need and to complete the consolidated |
| | needs assessment. All of our teachers and stakeholders were given access to the |
| | complete CNA. Staff members met in their PLCs and discussed the CNA |
| | questions together. Following the discussion together, each team member |
| | completed the CNA in a google form. Once the answer were all in the google |
| | form, the leadership team looked at the rating for each area and discussed |
| | whether or not they felt the result was a true indication of where we are as a |
| | school. The leadership team reached agreement on the final responses for the |
| | CNA. Friendship will post the completed CNA and SIP to the our school |
| | website for all stakeholders to view. The completed CNA and SIP will also be |
| | accessible to the LEA via the SLDS platform. |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Coherent Instructional System webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| | Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning. | √ | |
| | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | | |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning. | | |
| | Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | | |
| 3. Emerging | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning. | | |
| | Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning. | | |
| | Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | | |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the requir standards | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 - Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | ~ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curri standards | | iculum |
|--|--|--------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | ~ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 4 - Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | ~ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). | 1 |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 - Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 - Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual | |
| | students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progr | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor | |
| | their own progress. | |
| | | |
| | Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by | |
| | engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their | √ |
| | own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| Instruction Standard 9 - Provides timely, systematic, data - driven interventions | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 - Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| | Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, infor instruction, and improve teacher practices | |
|----------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the | |
| 2 Operational | results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment | |
| | results. | |
| | Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across | |
| | all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. | √ |
| | Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. | |
| | Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. | |
| | Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on required standards | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Effective Leadership webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 - Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of | |
| | urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | ~ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

| 1. Exemplary | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
|----------------|---|---|
| | The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | 1 |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 - Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning. The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | |
| 2. Operational | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning. The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

| Leadership Standard | 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple datas | sources |
|---------------------|--|---------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. | |
| | A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| | Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations. | |
| | Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |

| Leadership Standard 8 - Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is | \checkmark |
| | provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. | |

| e e | Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide th continuous improvement process | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | V | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | element a |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders. | |
| | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance. | |
| | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff. | |
| 2. Operational | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. | V |
| 3. Emerging | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders. The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes ac as needed | | adjustments |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | |
| 2. Operational | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance. Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data. | V |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored. School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | V |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| | Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and proceed to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | V | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed. | | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented. In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness. | | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe clean, and inviting learning environment | | g a safe, |
|---|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment. The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment. The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Professional Capacity webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 - Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | V |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance | | ince |
|---|--|------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning | Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of | |
| | implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

| Professional Learni staff | ng Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning n | leeds of the |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learni learning | ng Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective p | professional |
|--|---|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | V |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and s learning | | and student |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Family and Community Engagement webinar</u> for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's <u>KIDS COUNT</u> for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| · · · · · | Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects fam and community members to the school | | |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and | | |
| 2. Operational | workers. The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | √ | |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | | |

Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication

| between the school a | nd stakenolders | |
|----------------------|---|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | \checkmark |
| | Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| | Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being. Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | ~ | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | | |

| Family and Commustatus to families | nity Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student ac | chievement |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols). | |
| | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year. | \checkmark |
| | Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year. Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations. Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at hom will enhance academic achievement | | nome that |
|---|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to the needs of students | | nity to meet |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | \checkmark |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the <u>Supportive Learning Environment webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 - Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | ~ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | OperationalMost students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | mergingRules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | |
| 4. Not EvidentRules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | | |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | ~ |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community. | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | | |
| | The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | √ |
| 3. Emerging Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | |

| School Culture Standard 4 - Supports the personal growth and development of students | | |
|--|---|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | laryThe school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | \checkmark |
| 3. Emerging The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | | |
|---|--|--|
| 1. Exemplary The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support | | |
| the culture of the school. | | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | I. Exemplary A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained | |
| commitment to continuous improvement. | | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. | √ |
| | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 3. Emerging A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

| What perception data did you use? | Georgia Student Health Surveys, Georgia Parent Surveys, Georgia School |
|---|--|
| [examples: student perceptions about school | Personnel Surveys, Principal and Assistant Principal LKES surveys, Climate |
| climate issues (health survey, violence, | data from Georgia DOE, CNA. |
| prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent | |
| perceptions about the effectiveness of | |
| programs or interventions; student | |
| understanding of relationship of school to | |
| career or has an academic plan] | |

| What does the perception data tell you? | Teachers, parents, students feel safe and respected in the school. |
|---|---|
| (perception data can describe people's | Administrators and teachers continually monitor instructional strategies and |
| knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, | assessment data in order to improve instruction in order to improve student |
| competencies; perception data can also | achievement and success. Parents and teachers indicate their lowest level of |
| answer the question "What do people think | satisfaction with facilities i.e. amount of parking, car rider line, and playground |
| they know, believe, or can do?") | location. |

| What process data did you use? (examples: | Friendship Elementary stakeholders used various sources of process data. |
|---|---|
| student participation in school activities, | Process data included, but was not limited to, School leadership team agendas, |
| sports, clubs, arts; student participation in | PLC meeting agendas, Professional Learning agendas from sessions with |
| special programs such as peer mediation, | literacy and math experts, TKES and LKES data, PBIS Data, discipline data. In |
| counseling, skills conferences; | looking at data from TKES observations and student achievement, we have |
| parent/student participation in events such | noted that the faculty needs job embedded Professional Learning in the area of |
| as college information meetings and parent | instructional strategies and best practices in the classroom. The faculty will |
| workshops) | benefit from the instructional support that an instructional coach provides. An |
| - | instructional coach provides professional learning and follow up with support |
| | in the classroom. Teachers will have access to the academic expertise of an |
| | instructional coach at all times. |

| What does the process data tell you? | All staff members participate in PLCs twice monthly and Professional |
|--|--|
| (process data describes the way programs are | Learning Sessions once each month. Instructional coaching for teachers has |
| conducted; provides evidence of participant | provided growth and guidance instructionally. |
| involvement in programs; answers the | |
| question "What did you do for whom?") | |

| What achievement data did you use? | We analyzed achievement data that included EOG data, MAP data, Lexile |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | scores, Math fluency scores, BAS scores, CCRPI data, and common assessment |
| | data. |

| What does your achievement data tell you? | The achievement data at Friendship Elementary indicates that our students are |
|---|---|
| | not succeeding at a high level. According to the MAP data, our students tend |
| | to have higher growth than achievement, but still score relatively low in both. |
| | There is an achievement gap between our students of different ethnicities and |
| | different socioeconomic levels. |

| What demographic data did you use? | Friendship Elementary stakeholders considered various sources of demographic data including enrollment, attendance, race, gender, ethnicity, students with disabilities, English learners, economically disadvantaged, |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | school climate rating, and free and reduced lunch percentage data over the past decade. |

| What does the demographic data tell you? | In the past ten years, the free and reduced lunch percentage has changed dramatically from 25% in 2007 to 53% in 2018. We anticipate continued growth in our Free and Reduced numbers, but have not had baseline data for the last few years. Our numbers of ESOL students have also grown |
|--|--|
| | considerably in the last 5 years. This demographic shift created challenges for the teachers and students. Teachers and administrators have noticed a decrease in parent engagement and student motivation to complete schoolwork. Over the past 3 years, we |
| | have focused on increasing the connection between home and school. We have also increased teacher education about our students and families. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| Coherent Instructional:Summarize the | Currently, our grade levels have common planning. However, the team notes |
|---|---|
| coherent instructional system trends and | that we need to be more intentional about dissecting data, participating in |
| patterns observed by the team while | deliberate collaboration that looks more like PLCs, and administering and |
| completing this section of the report. What | discussing common assessments which lead to research based instructional |
| are the important trends and patterns that | strategies that support the growth of all students. In looking at data from TKES |
| will support the identification of student, | observations and student achievement, we have noted that the faculty |
| teacher, and leader needs? | continues to need job embedded professional learning in the area of |
| | instructional strategies and best practices in the classroom. The faculty |
| | benefits from the instructional support that the instructional coach provides. |
| | The instructional coach will continue to provide professional learning and |
| | essential follow up with support in the classroom. Teachers will have access to |
| | the academic expertise of an instructional coach at all times. Teachers will also |
| | be more intentional in the focus of their Kid Talks. |

| Effective Leadership:Summarize the | The SIP is in alignment with the District Balanced Scorecard. PLCs, TKES, and |
|--|---|
| effective leadership trends and patterns | LKES goals will be aligned with the SIP. |
| observed by the team while completing this | |
| section of the report. What are the | |
| important trends and patterns that will | |
| support the identification of student, | |
| teacher, and leader needs? | |

| Professional Capacity:Summarize the | For the 2022-2023 school year we are focused on the full implementation of |
|--|---|
| professional capacity trends and patterns | Professional Learning Communities, effective professional development to |
| observed by the team while completing this | address student needs, and providing instructional support to all staff |
| section of the report. What are the | members. Staff members are eager to learn and implement strategies, however, |
| important trends and patterns that will | through looking at data from TKES observations and student achievement, we |
| support the identification of student, | have noted that the faculty needs job embedded Professional learning in the |
| teacher, and leader needs? | area of instructional strategies and best practices in the classroom. The faculty |
| | will continue to benefit from the instructional support that an instructional |
| | coach provides. An instructional coach will be able to provide professional |
| | learning and follow up with support in the classroom. Teachers will have |
| | access to the academic expertise of an instructional coach at all times. |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| Family and Community | We are creating student centered events that will encourage parents to come to |
|---|--|
| Engagement: Summarize the family and | the school building. We have been intentional about inviting parents to attend |
| community engagement trends and patterns | and informing students as well. When students are excited to show their |
| observed by the team while completing this | parents something we have seen more parent engagement and attendance. |
| section of the report. What are the | |
| important trends and patterns that will | |
| support the identification of student, | |
| teacher, and leader needs? | |

| Supportive Learning | The team noted that our school population has changed over the last 10 years. |
|--|---|
| Environment:Summarize the supportive | In 2007 the percentage of free and reduced lunches was 25%. In 2018, the |
| learning environment trends and patterns | number had increased to 53%. We are becoming more proficient in the |
| observed by the team while completing this | instruction of students from all socioeconomic backgrounds. |
| section of the report. What are the | |
| important trends and patterns that will | |
| support the identification of student, | |
| teacher, and leader needs? | |

| Demographic and Financial:Summarize the | The demographics of Friendship Elementary school have changed and become |
|---|--|
| demographic and financial trends and | more financially and ethnically diverse. Our EL population has almost doubled |
| patterns observed by the team while | in the past 3 years and is currently at 11% with over 30 different languages |
| completing this section of the report. What | represented within our school. The number of students who are in need of free |
| are the important trends and patterns that | or reduced meals have also increased and doubled over the last decade. |
| will support the identification of student, | Students need more support at school and are entering kindergarten with |
| teacher, and leader needs? | tremendous need. Teachers and administrators recognize that we have to look |
| | at new and different ways to meet our students where they are and respond to |
| | not only their academic needs, but also social and emotional needs as well. We |
| | will participate in ongoing professional learning with a focus on best practices |
| | that best meet the needs of our ever-changing student population. |

| Student Achievement:Summarize the | Friendship Elementary needs to work on closing the achievement gap and |
|--|---|
| | |
| student achievement trends and patterns | increasing student performance for all students. We are implementing some |
| observed by the team while completing this | basic fluency benchmarks and expectations for all grades. As a leadership team, |
| section of the report. What are the | we have determined the non-negotiable aspects of instruction in each |
| important trends and patterns that will | classroom at FES. For example, each grade has a math campaign and a reading |
| support the identification of student, | campaign and they share the expectations, results, and growth with parents. |
| teacher, and leader needs? | Each grade is examining standards and expectations, sharing those |
| | expectations with parents and students, and enabling them to self monitor |
| | their growth. |

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Our leadership team is leading the way to learn about students of poverty and |
|-----------|---|
| | how to reach them. Our special education team is exceptionally strong and our |
| | teacher of EL students is a missionary in her field. We have people who are |
| | passionate about reaching children where they are and teaching them to where |
| | they need to be. |

| Challenges | Many staff members are overwhelmed by a decrease of support at home and |
|------------|--|
| | from home. Low reading levels, low math fluency levels, writing an opinion |
| | paragraph scores are low across the board. However, we are working on |
| | creative solutions to these challenges. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need | Increase numeracy proficiency |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Better |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |

Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need | Increase literacy proficiency |
|---|-------------------------------|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| A 11100 10 11 0 | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
| | |
| | |

Overarching Need # 3

| Overarching Need | Decrease incidents of physical aggression in all grades |
|---|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Worse |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Overarching Need # 3

| Priority Order | 3 |
|----------------|---|
| | |

Additional Considerations

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Increase numeracy proficiency

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students present a deficiency in math fact fluency in all operations. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
| | other School Leaders |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|
| | |

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students have difficulty applying math concepts in problem solving. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Root Cause # 2

| Impacted Programs | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |
|-------------------|---|
|-------------------|---|

| A 11:4: | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
| I | |

Root Cause # 3

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Inconsistent collaboration/data analysis that informs productive professional development |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
| | other School Leaders |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

Additional Responses

Overarching Need - Increase literacy proficiency

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students lack phonics skills to decode new words. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
| | other School Leaders |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Responsive teaching (diagnosing and addressing students' individualized needs) is not consistent across the school. |
|--|---|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| 4.1.1 | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
| reductional responses | |
| 1 | |

Root Cause # 3

| Root Causes to be Addressed | There are inconsistencies in the implementation of strategies and best practices taught in Professional Development sessions |
|--|---|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

Overarching Need - Decrease incidents of physical aggression in all grades

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students lack coping skills when faced with adversity |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
| | other School Leaders |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Root Cause # 2

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students lack the emotional vocabulary to communicate needs and emotions appropriately |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children |
| | Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and |
| | other School Leaders |
| | Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| dditional Responses |
|---------------------|
|---------------------|

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Teachers lack deescalation training and the emotional vocabulary to address dysregulated students. |
|--|---|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2022 - 2023



Hall County Friendship Elementary School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District | Hall County |
|--------------------------------|---|
| School Name | Friendship Elementary School |
| Team Lead | Abigail Celli |
| Federal Funding Options to Be | Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately) |
| Employed (SWP Schools) in this | |
| Plan (Select all that apply) | |

| Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | | |
|---|---|--|
| \checkmark | Free/Reduced meal application | |
| | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY | |
| | Other (if selected, please describe below) | |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Increase numeracy proficiency |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Students present a deficiency in math fact fluency in all operations. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Students have difficulty applying math concepts in problem solving. |
| Root Cause # 3 | Inconsistent collaboration/data analysis that informs productive professional development |
| Goal | By the end of FY 2023, the number of students scoring in the 21st percentile rank or below |
| | from Kindergarten through fifth grade will decrease by 2% on the Math MAP assessment, and the % of students in the "proficient" and "distinguished" range, on the Math Milestones will increase by 2%. |

| Action Step | Teachers will complete a data dig to determine specific student needs and possible |
|--------------------------------|--|
| - | interventions using MAP data. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observation Data |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, Milestones, Class Grades |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers, Instructional coach, administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with | We will invite parents in to teach them how to play math games and give students books. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, | If we are unable to invited them in, based on the conditions with the pandemic, we will |
| Community based organizations, | create take home kits. |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementing in carrying | |
| out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | All teachers will complete the pre-concept lessons section of Eureka lessons, with fidelity. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | (Sprint, counting, & application) |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PLC Sign-In Sheets, PLC/Faculty/Professional Learning Agendas, TKES Observation data, |
| Implementation | PL Surveys |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, Milestones, Class Grades |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Instructional coach, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | All Tier 2 RtI students will spend a minimum of three 20-minute blocks of time per week |
|--------------------------------|---|
| incuoir otop | working on Zearn lessons. |
| True line Courses | U |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PLC Sign-In Sheets, PLC/Faculty/Professional Learning Agendas, TKES Observation data, |
| Implementation | PL Surveys |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, Milestones, Class Grades |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Instructional Coach, All Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Students will practice basic fluency facts through weekly classroom activities. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observation Data |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | Students will increase mastery of basic fluency facts by 10% based on grade level |
| Student Achievement | expectations and baselines. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, Homeroom Teachers, Instructional Coach |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Parents will be invited to a math game night with their child. |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |

| Subgroups | N/A |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Title I Meeting Agendas and Minutes |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, GMAS, Class Grades, Common Assessments |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | teachers, administrators, parents/guardians |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Title I Summer School will be offered for students based on data. |
|---|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Parent letters and Summer School Enrollment data. |
| Implementation | |

| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Student Achievement and Growth Data |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers, Counselors, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide Instructional Coach and Intervention Teachers to support teachers and students |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | during math. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observations, Student math MAP and GMAS data, Sign in sheets for PL |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP Growth report, analysing student work |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | We will purchase supplies, equipment, leveled texts, technology, and software to increase numeracy through student engagement in authentic intellectual work. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Free line Courses | , |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title I, Part D |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observations, Student math MAP and GMAS data, Sign in sheets for PL |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP Growth report, analysing student work |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Increase literacy proficiency |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | There are inconsistencies in the implementation of strategies and best practices taught in |
| | Professional Development sessions |
| Root Cause # 2 | Responsive teaching (diagnosing and addressing students' individualized needs) is not |
| | consistent across the school. |
| Root Cause # 3 | Students lack phonics skills to decode new words. |
| Goal | By the end of FY 2023, the % of students in the 21 percentile or below range in |
| | Kindergarten through 2nd grade will decrease by 5% on the ELA MAP assessment and the |
| | % of students in 3rd-5th grade in the "beginning learner" range will decrease by 5% on the |
| | ELA on GMAS. |

| Action Step | Teachers will implement Heggerty Reading Curriculum Phonemic Awareness, Fountas and Pinnell, for kids in grades k-2. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Formal and informal observations (TKES) |
| Implementation | MAP and BEACON data, End of unit assessments, 5 lesson Components, Rounding and |
| - | scorekeeping |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, GMAS, TKES Observations |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, all teachers and Instructional coach |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Teachers will use assessment data to create and target strategy groups. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Professional Learning minutes, agendas, data, sign in sheets |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, GMAS, Class Grades, Common Assessments |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers, instructional coach |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Teachers will use assessment data to co-plan with their grade level and the instructional |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | coach. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Teachers will use assessment data to co-plan with their grade level and the instructional |
| Implementation | coach. |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, GMAS, Class Grades, Common Assessments |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers, administrators, instructional coach, TOSAs |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| rents will be invited to school-hosted events to discuss the importance of literacy velopment |
|--|
| le I, Part A le II, Part A le III, Part A EA |
| v :1 :1 |

| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Title I agendas and sign ins |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP, GMAS, Class Grades, Common Assessments |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers, instructional coach, parents |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Title I Summer School will be offered to students, based on data. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| - | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |

| Subgroups | Student with Disabilities |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | Summer School Enrollment, Parent Responses |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | Student achievement and growth data |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | teachers, counselor, administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Provide Instructional Coach and Intervention Teachers to support teachers and students |
|----------------------|--|
| | during reading. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observations, Student reading levels, Sign in sheets for PL |
| Implementation | |

| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | MAP Growth report, GMAS, Analyzing student work |
|---|---|
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | We will purchase supplies, equipment, leveled texts, technology, and software to increase literacy through student engagement in authentic intellectual work. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title I, Part C |
| | Title II, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | TKES Observations, Student reading levels, Sign in sheets for PL |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | MAP Growth report, GMAS, Analyzing student work |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Decrease incidents of physical aggression in all grades |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Students lack the emotional vocabulary to communicate needs and emotions appropriately |
| Root Cause # 2 | Students lack coping skills when faced with adversity |
| Root Cause # 3 | Teachers lack deescalation training and the emotional vocabulary to address dysregulated |
| | students. |
| Goal | By the end of FY 2023, students will learn coping strategies to reduce incidents of physical |
| | aggression by 10%. |

| Action Step | Targeted professional learning and individualized coaching cycles in the area of SEL - |
|--------------------------------|--|
| • | including SOS and Mindset components. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PBIS/SWISS Data, SEL/SEED Lab observations, IC Behavior reports |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | fewer referrals to administrators |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teachers, administrators, counselor |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Teachers will deliver PBIS lessons for the first 2 weeks of school and will teach individual lessons each week. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PBIS/SWISS Data, SEL/SEED Lab observations, Infinite campus |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | fewer referrals to administrator/counselor for emotional dysregulation |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | teachers, counselor, administrator |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Students receive PBIS "refresher" every 9 weeks, lesson components are shared with |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | parents |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PBIS Data, SEL/SEED Lab observation, MTSS Data, Infinite Campus Behavior Referrals |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | fewer office referrals for emotional dysregulation/student incivility. |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, counselor, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Meet the social and emotional needs of students to support academic growth of all students. Continue to use PBIS to create a positive school climate that provides an environment conducive to student success. Provide structures so that students feel safe and supported and teachers are able to build positive relationships with students. Ensure that each student has a trusted adult in the building. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | PBIS data, rounding and scorekeeping |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | fewer office referrals for emotional dysregulation/student incivility |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, counselor, instructional coach, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | SEED Lab will function to support students in Social Emotional Development. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| | Title II, Part A |
| | Title III, Part A |
| | IDEA |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| | Immigrant |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Success Criteria for | SEED Lab logs, data, and observations |
| Implementation | |
| Success Criteria for Impact on | Reduced number of behavior referrals |
| Student Achievement | |
| Position/Role Responsible | teachers, paras, Administrators, Counselor |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|-----------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementing in carrying |
| out this action step(s)? |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

| 1. In developing this plan, briefly describe | Friendship Elementary School has involved all of its teachers, |
|--|--|
| how the school sought advice from | paraprofessionals, support personnel, and school leaders in developing this |
| individuals (teachers, staff, other school | comprehensive needs assessment and the school improvement plan that |
| leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized | evolved from the many meetings and gathered data. During the preliminary |
| instructional support personnel, parents, | process, all teachers were able to self-select one of the five systems around |
| community partners, and other | which the plan revolve and work through rubrics and artifacts/proof of current |
| stakeholders). | levels. Individuals were chosen to attend the |
| | system leadership retreat, where time was spent focusing upon the process we |
| | would follow to involve our school stakeholders. Teachers and support staff |
| | worked in their Professional Learning Communities to give more input about |
| | all of the systems to the School Leadership Team (SLT) could conduct the root |
| | cause analysis and find our top priorities for improvement. As each of the |
| | priorities emerged, SLT members were invited to give feedback, question, |
| | amend and create further steps in the plan. During the Parent Input meeting, |
| | attendees were also able to address the top priorities or offer additional |
| | concerns. |
| | Friendship will post the completed CNA and SIP to the our school website for |
| | all stakeholders to view. The completed CNA and SIP will also be accessible to |
| | the LEA via the SLDS platform. |

| 2. Describe how the school will ensure that | Friendship Elementary School believes that we are highly responsible for the |
|---|--|
| low-income and minority children enrolled | future of our community and much of that future depends on providing |
| in the Title I school are not served at | quality instruction in every classroom. All Friendship Elementary teachers |
| disproportionate rates by ineffective, | are teaching in their field of certification. Teachers new to FES and within |
| out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers. | their first two years receive a new teacher packet of Friendship spirit items, |
| _ | they partner with a selected mentor, and attend monthly meetings |
| | in order to offer support and so that they develop classroom management and |
| | content knowledge to the depth needed to academically prepare children. |
| | Teachers work in professional learning communities monthly. Teachers have |
| | also received additional professional learning on the writing and |
| | reading strategies of Jennifer Seravallo. Teachers have received introductory |
| | training on Fountas and Pinnell Phonics and vocabulary instruction for |
| | students. Many teachers have also received professional development in the |
| | area of |
| | math problem solving and vocabulary with Suzanne Hood. Problem Solving |
| | provides an opportunity to engage students organically in real life |
| | mathematics. Students would use open-ended problems that promote |
| | reasoning and communication. Teachers would use a standards based rubric |
| | to assess a student's ability to use problem solving with the mathematical |
| | criteria of Problem Solving, Reasoning and Proof, Communication, and |
| | Representation. Teachers will collaborate in the Professional |
| | Learning Community to calibrate their assessment practices with their |
| | classroom samples. All teachers have participated in AEX. AEX (Aerospace |
| | |

| Education Excellence) participants receive numerous full-color books and |
|---|
| manipulatives that feature standards-driven aerospace/STEM activities. The |
| requirements for full implementation are simple: complete six |
| aerospace/STEM activities and one two-hour or longer field experience * |
| (space day, rocket, hot air balloon, or airplane launches, trip to the airport or |
| an aerospace museum, etc.). Completion of the requirements earns a beautiful |
| wooden teacher plaque plus color certificates for all students involved. The |
| activities are designed to fit into any curriculum. Schools' state-approved |
| curriculum may replace or supplement the AEX activities created for this |
| program. This program has the added benefit of STEM kits, which are |
| provided at no cost. The kits include the following: Weather Station, |
| Astronomy, Flight Simulator, Quadcopter, Robotics, Rocketry, Model and |
| Remote Control Aircraft. |
| |

| 3. Provide a general description of the Title I | The Title I program at Friendship Elementary is a school wide program, where |
|---|---|
| instructional program being implemented at | ALL children benefit from research-based instructional strategies and |
| this Title I school. Specifically define the | heterogeneous groupings within subject areas beyond the mathematics. |
| subject areas to be addressed and the | Teachers plan for variance in learning needs, and are able to address quite a |
| instructional strategies/methodologies to be | range through blended learning methods. Mathematics classes have a full |
| employed to address the identified needs of | range of manipulatives and other such devices to help students gain the |
| the most academically at-risk students in the | conceptual knowledge at the concrete level rather than simply memorizing |
| school. Please include services to be provided | algorithms. Throughout the school, we are focusing upon student-centered |
| for students living in local institutions for | classrooms, so student work is facilitated by teachers who should progress |
| neglected or delinquent children (if | monitor and provide scaffolding and support to those in need. Teachers are |
| applicable). | involved in Professional Learning Communities (DuFour and Eaker) and use |
| | student data in order to modify instruction. All teachers are involved in job |
| | embedded professional learning to improve instructional strategies (Jennifer |
| | Seravallo). |

| 4. If applicable, provide a description of how | Not applicable |
|---|----------------|
| teachers, in consultation with parents, | |
| administrators, and pupil services personnel, | |
| will identify eligible children most in need of | |
| services in Title I targeted assistance | |
| schools/programs. Please include a | |
| description of how the school will develop | |
| and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) | |
| objective, academic-based performance | |
| criteria to rank students for service. Also | |
| include a description of the measurable scale | |
| (point system) that uses the objective criteria | |
| to rank all students. | |

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

| 5. If applicable, describe how the school will | FES will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood |
|--|---|
| support, coordinate, and integrate services | programs as the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool |
| with early childhood programs at the school | children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local |
| level, including strategies for assisting | elementary school programs. The following strategies will assist with this |
| preschool children in the transition from | transition: |
| early childhood education programs to local | Kindergarten teachers will assess children who register for kindergarten to |
| elementary school programs. | determine strengths and areas of need. They will use this information to |
| | determine instructional goals for students beginning their kindergarten year. |
| | Kindergarten teachers will host Kindergarten Registration in the spring. |
| | Parents can register their children early; take a tour of the facility; and preview |
| | the curriculum. This also gives the parents an opportunity to ask questions and |
| | share concerns about their young children. |
| | Kindergarten teachers prepare and distribute a packet of information that |
| | contains kindergarten expectations, summer practice, and early reading |
| | materials. The children are able to work through the packet with their parents |
| | during the summer and become familiar with the type of information they will |
| | need to learn (i.e. Shapes, colors…). |
| | Kindergarten students are invited to Future Falcon Transition Camp for one |
| | week in July, to prepare for school, and to practice readiness skills with |
| | teachers and paraprofessionals. |
| | Select teachers meet with PreK service providers for special education students |
| | transitioning |
| | to FES. |
| | |

| 6. If applicable, describe how the school will | Not applicable. |
|--|-----------------|
| implement strategies to facilitate effective | |
| transitions for students from middle grades | |
| to high school and from high school to | |
| postsecondary education | |
| including:Coordination with institutions of | |
| higher education, employers, and local | |
| partners; and Increased student access to | |
| early college, high school, or dual or | |
| concurrent enrollment opportunities or | |
| career counseling to identify student interest | |
| and skills. | |

| 7. Describe how the school will support | FES supports the efforts of school staff to reduce the overuse of discipline |
|--|---|
| efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline | practices that |
| practices that remove students from the | remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all |
| classroom, specifically addressing the effects | subgroups of |
| on all subgroups of students. | students. FES staff and students reinforce the Falcon Four Talons (Respect, |
| | Responsibilty, |
| | Honesty, and Service to Others) daily. Reinforcing these character traits helps |
| | limit the |
| | need for disciplinary referrals. Teachers and staff work to build relationships |
| | with parents |
| | and students in order to understand student's behavior. We have a team of |
| | teachers who |
| | have been trained on PBIS, which we will continue to implement and develop |
| | during the 2022-2023 |
| | school year. PBIS is a research based positive behavior plan that encourages |
| | students to |
| | demonstrate positive behaviors and encourages staff members to recognize the |
| | positive |
| | behaviors. |

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

| Q Use the space below to provide additional | Exion debin alementary addresses the needs of our students through a cohool |
|--|---|
| 8. Use the space below to provide additional | Friendship elementary addresses the needs of our students through a school |
| narrative regarding the school's | level |
| improvement plan. | counseling program in which the counselor visits classrooms and provides tier |
| | I instruction |
| | to all students regarding problem solving, good touch-bad touch, bullying, etc. |
| | The |
| | counselor and school social worker collaborate in order to more specifically |
| | assist students |
| | who need more intense intervention and support. We provide parents and |
| | students with |
| | resources including outside counseling services, outside agencies. We have a |
| | local church |
| | who is a partner in education with us and they send many of their members to |
| | work with |
| | our students, read with our students, and mentor some of our most needy |
| | students. Local |
| | organizations provide us with Backpacks of Love so that some of our families |
| | lacking food |
| | resources are able to eat over the weekend. |